INDIANA FINANCE AUTHORITY WASTEWATER STATE REVOLVING FUND LOAN PROGRAM PROJECT SCORING AND RANKING WORKSHEET

Project Name:		
SRF Project Number:	NPDES #:	
Reviewer:	Date:	
Check only one:		
List A: Small Community applicant population ≥ 10,000).	
List B: Large community application population is < 10.	,000.	
	Initial	Maximum Allowed Score

	Initial Score	Maximum Allowed Score
Section 1: Project Need		50 points
Section 2: Water Quality Benefits		40 points
Section 3: Brownfield Re-Use		5 points
Section 4: Financial Capability		5 points
Total Score:		100

Bonus Points

Sustainable Infrastructure	10 points

Instructions:

Projects are scored using the following criteria to develop the Wastewater State Revolving Fund (WWSRF) Loan Program Project Priority List (PPL). To the extent practical, the WWSRF Loan Program expects to give priority to projects that:

- 1. Provide a Water Quality Benefit;
- 2. Improve the condition of the system; and
- 3. Assist systems most in financial need.

Points are assigned only when the proposed project intends to correct the problem identified under the appropriate section(s) with the associated points. For example, if the treatment system has persistent violations but the proposed project does not address the violations, the points associated with the violations will not be assigned. However, if the applicant has persistent violations and addresses them, the points associated with the violations will be assigned.

The total number of available points is 100. The score is determined by adding the total points associated with the project up to 10 Bonus Points are available for projects which include sustainable infrastructure. If a tie persists, then the project that serves the smallest population prevails.

A loan recipient must submit a complete Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) by July 1 to WWSRF in order to be <u>Scored and Ranked</u> on the PPL. A PER submitted after July 1, will be scored and unranked. Projects which submit applications only (and no PER) will appear as unscored and unranked.

Section 1: Project Need

This section scores projects by the type of project proposed for funding. Points are given based on type of project, with additional points given to priority projects mentioned on page 1.

<u>Categories of Need:</u> Projects may fall into several categories. Definitions are provided in the Attachment #1 for each category of need.

Cost		Points	Earned	Max Available
Category I: Secondary Treatment	Category I: Secondary Treatment		0	
Category II: Advanced Treatment		4	0	
Category IIIA: Infiltration/Inflow Correction		3	0	
Category IIIB: Major Sewer System Re	habilitation	3	0	
Category IVA: New Collection Sewers		4	0	
Category IVB: New Interceptors		2	0	
Category V: Combined Sewer Overflow	Correction	5	0	
Category VI: Storm Water		2	0	
Category VII: Non-Point Source		3	0	
		Total Points	0	30
Additional Points will be provided if	the following inforn	nation is provided	in the PER:	
Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP):	Yes	1	0	
Age of facility - 50% or more was	100	-		
constructed more than 20 years ago.	No	0	0	
, ,		Total Points	0	1
Candia Tanta Easter (CTE)	D : 1			
<u>Septic Tank Factor (STF)</u> = number of existing septic tanks to be eliminated	Project removes 1- 25 homes	1	0	
by the project.	Project removes 26-	1	0	
of the project.	75 homes	2	0	
	Project removes 76-		0	
	125 homes	3	0	
	126 and over			
	homes	4	0	
		Total Points	0	4
Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) =	Project removes 1-			
The proposed project eliminates a	25%	1	0	
	Project removes 26-		- U	
overflow volume OR number of events		2	0	
per year on a system wide basis.	Project removes 51-			
	75%	3	0	
	Project removes 76-			
	100%	5	0	
		Total Points	0	5
Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSO) = The	Drainat ramayas 1			
proposed project eliminates a	25%	1	0	
percentage of EITHER annual average	Project removes 26-	_	0	
overflow volume OR number of events		2	0	
per year on a system wide basis.	Project removes 51-		Ü	
	75%	3	0	
	Project removes 76-			
	100%	4	0	
		Total Points	0	4

Regionalization Factor: Points				
assigned if the proposed project	Eliminates one			
reduces the number of National	NPDES discharger	1	0	
Pollution Discharge Elimination	Eliminates two			
System (NPDES) dischargers by	NPDES			
regionalization.	dischargers	2	0	
		2	0	
	Eliminates three or			
	more NPDES			
	dischargers	4	0	<u>,</u>
		Total Points	0	4
Infiltration/Inflow (I/I): The PER				
proposes to address an existing	Yes	2	0	
collection system that has excessive I/I.			0	
conceron system that has excessive bi.	No	0	0	
	INO	Total Points	0	2
		Total Points	U	2
	Total Poir	nts Earned	0	MAX 50
Section 2: Water Quality Benefits				
This section assigns points to projects p	roviding an environi	mental benefit to a re	eceiving stream.	
This seemen assigns permit to projects p	rovioning un onvironi		out ing stream.	Max Available
Dilution Ratio Points (DRP): (7-day 6	010 of receiving stre	eam in cfs / (design f	low in mod) x (1.55	
cfs/mgd)). This is the calculation of eff	-		•	
099	raciit illiitis oatillica	4	permit.	
1.00 – 4.99		3		
5.00 – 9.99		2		
10.0 or greater		1		
		Total Points		4
Outstanding Resource Factor: assign	o value of 4 points			
if the project will improve water quality				
State Resource (327 IAC 2-1.5-2 (3)), E	•			
Stream (327 IAC 2-1-11), Natural, Scen	-			
River or Stream (312 IAC 7-2), Outstan				
	_			
Indiana (Indiana Register 20070530-IR		4		
or a salmonid stream (327 IAC 2-1.5-5)	a)(3)).	Total Doi:t-		
1	0070520 ID 212070	Total Points		4
http://www.in.gov/legislative/register/20	00/0530-1R-3120/02	28/NKA.xml.pdf		
Drinking Water Factor: assign a valu				
proposed project positively affects a dri	nking water supply.	4		
		Total Points		4
Implementation Factors, assign a value	e of A points if the			
<u>Implementation Factor:</u> assign a valu proposed project implements an approv	_			
	ed Total Maximum	4		
Daily Load (TMDL).		Total Points		4
		Total Points		4
Priority Segments Points: assign a va	lue of 1 point, if			
project affects segments within drainage				
been designated by the State as priority				
basins are Lake Michigan - Great Lakes				
Joseph River and Maumee River Basins	S	1		

Points Earned

1

Total Points on PER		0	100	
			MAX	
Total Points Earne	ed		Max 5	
4. Less than or equal to 0.9 %	0			5
3. 1.0 – 1.4 %	1			
2. 1.5 – 1.9 %	3			
1. Greater than or equal to 2.0 %	5			
A. Financial Capability: Post-project annual wastewater b		Median Household I	ncome.	
Section 4: Financial Capability				
Section 4. Financial Canability				
Total Points Earne	ed	0	Max 5	
project in-house.	5			5
SRF Project also has an Indiana Brownfields Program	~			
Brownfield (IC13-11-2-19.3) in conjunction with the Indian				
Brownfield Reuse: A value of 5 points will be awarded to	a project involving r	emediation/redevelop	ment of a	
Section 3: Brownfield Reuse				
Total For	201 Hed	U	- Wax TV	
Total Poir		0	Max 40	/
resource water.	Total Points			7
303 (d) listed water body or an outstanding and exceptional resource water.	7			
reduce or remove pollutants causing the impairment of a				
Impaired Water Score: Points are given to projects that				
	Total Points			6
Less than or equal to 24%	3			
25% - 49%	4			
50% - 74%	5			
project. A defined area is needed. 75% reduction and greater	6	reduced by the		
Pollution Reduction Value: This is only for nonpoint sour assigned based on an estimate of the E. <i>coli</i> pollutant which				
permit.	Total Points		1	10
c. The project is necessary to achieve compliance with effluent limitations based on water quality standards for additional or more stringent limits than existing NPDES permit.	5			
b. The project is necessary to achieve or maintain compliance with effluent limitations based on water quality standards for toxic substances (i.e. heavy metals and manmade organic compounds).	5			
a. The project is necessary to achieve or maintain compliance with effluent limitations based on water quality standards for conventional pollutants (i.e., CBOD5, TSS).	5			
achieve compliance on established water quality standards, or				
Water Quality Score: Points assigned based on benefit or	impact the project to	maintain or		

BONUS POINTS

Sustainable Infrastructure

Sustainable Infrastructure: Points are derived from the SRF Sustainable Infrastructure Checklist in Attachment #2. For every 5 points earned from the checklist, 1 point will be awarded on this scoring sheet. The checklist is based on a 50-point total.

Checklist/Points			
1-5 Checklist = 1 pt	26-30 Checklist = 6 pts		
6-10 Checklist = 2 pts	3	1-35 Checklist = 7 p	ts
11-15 Checklist = 3 pts	36-40 Checklist = 8 pts		
16-20 Checklist = 4 pts	41-45 Checklist = 9 pts		ts
21-25 Checklist = 5 pts	46-50 Checklist = 10 pts		ots
Total Points Earne	ed		Max 10

Total Points on PER Including BONUS Points	0 110	
--	-------	--